## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From th		AL SEARCHIN	IG AUTHOR	ITY		MSI		
To:						PCT PTON		
						RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)			
Applies	nt's or as	ent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION			
	4116				See paragraph 2 below			
		·····		T				
		lication No. 1004/0148	341	International filing date ( 07.10.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.10.2003		
		ent Classification	(IPC) or both	national classification an	d IPC			
Applica UNI		LTD.						
1.	This or	inion contains in	dications relat	ing to the following items				
••	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:      Box No. I Basis of the opinion							
	Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
		Box No. IV Box No. V	Lack of unity Reasoned sta	1(a)(i) with regard to r	ovelty, inventive step or industrial			
			applicability	; citations and explanation	ons supporting such statement			
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	ments cited cts in the international app	olication			
		Box No. VIII		rvations on the internation				
2.	2. FURTHER ACTION  If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority oth than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of FPCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
	For furt	her options, see 1	Form PCT/ISA	<b>√220</b> .				
3.	For furt	her details, see n	otes to Form P	CT/ISA/220.				
Na	nd mail!	a address after T	CA/ID		Authorized officer			
Name a	no mailin	g address of the I	SAJP		Authorized officer			
Facsimile No.					Telephone No.			

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/014841

Box No. I Basis of this opinion With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing format of material in weitten format in computer readable form time of filing/furnishing contained in the international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. 3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. Additional comments:

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/014841

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	2-5, 7, 9	_ YES
		Claims	1, 6, 8	_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	2-5, 7, 9	YES
		Claims	1, 6, 8	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-9	_ YES
		Claims		NO

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP, 2002-346594, A (Taiho Industries Co., Ltd.), 03 December, 2002 (03.12.02)

Document 2: JP, 2002-322355, A (Canon Inc.), 08 November, 2002 (08.11.02)

Document 3: JP, 2001-348498, A (Maruzen Polymer Kabushiki Kaisha), 18 December, 2001 (18.12.01)

Document 4: JP, 10-251498, A (Kanebo, Ltd.), 22 September, 1998 (22.09.98)

Document 5: JP, 9-316310, A (Kanebo, Ltd.), 09 December, 1997 (09.12.97)

Document 6: JP, 9-194706, A (Asahi Chemical Industry Co., Ltd.), 29 July, 1997 (29.07.97)

## Claims 1 and 8:

The subject matters of claims 1 and 8 do not appear to be novel since they are described in documents 1-6 cited in the ISR.

#### Claim 6:

The subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to be novel since it is described in document 6 cited in the ISR.

### Claim 6:

The subject matter of claim 6 does not appear to involve an inventive step according to documents 1-5 cited in the ISR.

Performing a comparative study of an amount added to an inorganic compound and regulating the amount to within a range of 1-30 mass in 100 mass of resin is easily obtainable for a person skilled in the art.

### Claims 2-5, 7 and 9:

The subject matters of claims 2-5, 7 and 9 are not described in any of the documents 1-6 cited in the ISR and since they are not obvious to a person skilled in the art, are considered an inventive step